

Ralph Fiennes directs Shakespeare's *Coriolanus* with an eye to contemporary events

Stefan Steinberg
13 March 2012

This comment originally appeared as part of the 2011 Berlin film festival coverage.

Directed by Ralph Fiennes, screenplay by John Logan, based on the play by William Shakespeare

The new film version of Shakespeare's *Coriolanus* is directed by the prominent British actor Ralph Fiennes, who also plays the principal role. Fiennes played *Coriolanus* on the London stage in 2000. Since then, he has apparently cherished the idea of adapting for the screen one of Shakespeare's lesser-known and more infrequently staged plays.

In his production notes, Fiennes makes clear it was the relevance of *Coriolanus*—set by Shakespeare in ancient Rome (according to history or legend, in the fifth century BC)—to current developments that motivated him to make the film. Accordingly, Fiennes has placed the action in the present day.

The film begins with food riots. We witness a large crowd led by a small group of men and women, drawn from different backgrounds, marching on Rome's grain stores. The area is sealed off by armoured personnel carriers. The repressive military operation is led by General Caius Martius (Fiennes) in full military garb, who personally confronts the demonstrators and makes clear he will brook no opposition.

Martius has been brought up in a military household and feels most at home on the battlefield. He will have nothing to do with democracy and its trappings.

According to his mother, Volumnia (played by Vanessa Redgrave): "Blood is more beautiful than milk, the wound than the breast, warfare than peaceful feeding".

Following his success in securing a bloody victory over a rival state, Martius is renamed *Coriolanus* as a mark of honour and is promoted by his mother and other patricians as the future ruler (consul) of Rome. The consul is an elected post. *Coriolanus* is now expected to plunge into the crowd, shake hands and win popular support for his nomination. This is a step too far for the haughty and autocratic general.

Unable to submit himself to democratic rituals, *Coriolanus* is turned on by his backers and denied the consul's office. An outraged *Coriolanus*, his dignity struck to the quick, decides he would prefer to ally himself with Rome's archenemy and wage war on his native city rather than submit to the will of the masses.

Coriolanus is one of Shakespeare's most overtly political plays. There is little of the reflective monologues and self-questioning to be found in other tragedies such as *Hamlet*, *Macbeth* and *King Lear*. This lack of self-reflection finds its equivalent, according to Fiennes, in modern politics. In his notes, Fiennes lists George Bush and Tony Blair, for example, as two modern-day politicians who match "the arrogance and intransigence" of *Coriolanus*.

The larger political dimensions of the play were underlined by the nineteenth century British essayist William Hazlitt, who wrote of *Coriolanus*: "The whole dramatic moral of *Coriolanus* is that those who have

little shall have less, and that those who have much shall take all that others have left”.

German playwright Bertolt Brecht wrote a well-known adaptation of the play in the early 1950s, which was first staged in Frankfurt in 1962. His interesting essay on the subject, “Study of the First Scene of Shakespeare’s *Coriolanus*”, composed in the form of a dialogue, is included in *Brecht on Theatre* (edited by John Willett, 1992). Brecht describes the opening scene: “A group of plebeians has armed itself with a view to killing the patrician Caius Martius, an enemy of the people, who is opposed to lowering the price of corn”.

Fiennes’s notes, pointing to the disdain for democratic processes on the part of Bush-Blair, are entirely appropriate, just as the comment by Hazlitt (also cited by Fiennes) makes clear that in the field of elemental class relations little has changed over the course of the last century. Such a critical view of modern political life is indeed a necessary starting point for transposing and projecting *Coriolanus* effectively into the present day. In this regard, Fiennes’s version has its strengths and weaknesses.

Fiennes has excised large portions of the text, trimming it down to just over two hours, but remained largely true to Shakespeare’s language and plot. In addition to his own solid performance, Fiennes draws upon the services of a number of fine actors (Brian Cox as Agrippa, James Nesbitt as Sicinius, Gerald Butler as Aufidius and Redgrave as Volumnia).

The most intense scenes in the play occur between Coriolanus and Volumnia. Volumnia is the powerful backbone of Coriolanus, expressing her delight when she sees him with new scars brought back from the battlefield. The proud mother harbours political designs for her son and uses all her wiles to pressure him to gain approval by showing his new scars to the populace (a standard practice).

The location selected for shooting the film (largely for financial reasons) was Serbia and its capital Belgrade. The locale had certain built-in advantages. The filmmakers were able to use the country’s

parliament building for important scenes. Also, broad derelict areas of Belgrade—evidently the product of NATO’s 1999 bombing—provided an ideal setting for the film’s battle scenes.

Fiennes observes that in addition to the parliament building, the Serb government also made available its elite military commandos, who feature in the film’s overly long, choreographed battle sequences. The latter strike a jolting note and reveal a certain one-sidedness in Fiennes attempt to transport *Coriolanus* into the here and now, as well perhaps as the almost inevitable problems involved in “modernising” works of the Elizabethan or any other relatively remote era. Some elements will transfer well while others simply won’t. Directors who attempt to force the matter run into difficulties.

Shakespeare laid the drama in Rome and wrote his text almost exactly four centuries ago (c. 1605-1608). Since then, new elites and political forces have developed in modern society, whose power, influence and arrogance are in many respects a match for the military’s. Fiennes does his best to bring out the imperial general’s internal conflicts, but it is an uphill battle to generate empathy for a character Fiennes himself equates with Bush and Blair.

And, if there is one lesson to be learnt from the most recent uprisings in the Maghreb, it is that even the most powerful of armies, headed by the most intransigent of commanders, is not able to withstand the might of a broad movement of the working masses. But Fiennes’s *Coriolanus* is a fascinating glimpse at a significant work.



To contact the WSWS and the Socialist Equality Party visit:

wsws.org/contact