

Wall Street Journal outlines US military options against China

Tom Peters
30 April 2014

An article in the *Wall Street Journal* last Sunday revealed that the Pentagon has devised a range of “military options” to intervene against China in territorial disputes in the South and East China Seas. The options, described as “muscular” and “provocative,” include “increasing surveillance operations near China,” deploying nuclear-capable B-2 and B-52 bomber aircraft, and sending an aircraft carrier into the Taiwan Strait in response to any Chinese “provocation.”

The article was published amid President Obama’s four-country tour of Asia, during which he pledged to support Japan in any war with China, signed an agreement to give US forces far greater access to bases in the Philippines, and strengthened military integration with South Korea. The tour was aimed at assuring US allies that Washington remains committed to its “pivot to Asia”—the military encirclement of, and preparations for war against, China.

In the Philippines, Obama declared that “our goal is not to counter China.” His administration, however, has encouraged Japan and the Philippines to aggressively pursue their territorial claims against China. Last November, Washington denounced Beijing’s declaration of an air defence identification zone covering the Senkaku/Diaoyu islands, which are claimed by China and Japan, then flew B-52 bombers unannounced into the zone.

Plans for war against China are far advanced. The Pentagon’s strategy, known as AirSea Battle, envisages a massive assault on China’s military infrastructure, using missiles, warplanes and warships, which could easily escalate into a nuclear war. The US and Japan are preparing for this eventuality by building anti-ballistic missile systems, using the supposed “threat” of North Korea as a pretext. The plans also include a naval

blockade of Chinese shipping through South East Asia.

An article on Monday in the *Financial Times* (FT) entitled “US spreads military presence in Asia” provided further details of the US military build-up against China in Asia. After citing the new US-Philippine basing agreement signed on the same day, it pointed out that Pentagon officials have raised “the prospect of some sort of temporary presence in other countries such as Vietnam, Indonesia and Malaysia.” The FT also noted refurbishing World War II airstrips on Pacific Islands such as Tinian and Saipan in preparation for a war with China. Chillingly, the article began by noting that Tinian was the airstrip from which the Enola Gay took off to drop an atomic bomb on the Japanese city of Hiroshima in 1945, and by implication, could be used in a nuclear war with China.

The *Wall Street Journal* (WSJ) reported that the Pentagon’s latest “action plan” is intended to address “concerns” held by Washington’s “closest allies in Asia” over the Obama administration’s willingness to confront Beijing. The newspaper said these allies “have told American counterparts” that the response to Russia’s “aggression” in Crimea “is seen as a possible litmus test of what Washington will do if China attempted a similar power grab.” It also noted that “concerns were raised” by South Korean officials last September after Obama’s last-minute decision to call off plans to bomb Syria—partly to avoid a potential military confrontation with Russia.

In reality, it is US imperialism that has grabbed power in Ukraine, and recklessly ratcheted up tensions with Russia by directing a fascist-led putsch to topple the pro-Russian government of Victor Yanukovich. Washington is providing military equipment and financial support to its new puppet regime in Kiev, which is mobilising the army and fascist Right Sector

militia to crack down on anti-regime protesters in Russian-speaking southeastern Ukraine.

Washington's ongoing interventions in Ukraine and Syria, and the military build-up in the Asia-Pacific, are all parts of the over-arching strategy of the US ruling elite to counter its economic decline by securing domination over the entire Eurasian land mass.

The WSJ, paraphrasing US officials, wrote that "Asian allies who want to know how Washington would respond to future acts of Chinese aggression... [should look at] Pentagon moves to reassure Eastern European allies and Baltic states since the US is bound by treaty agreements to help defend them." The US has utilised the crisis it has unleashed in Ukraine to deploy troops to Poland, Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, while sending warships to the Black Sea—actions that risk provoking a war with Russia.

The article notes that under the Pentagon's new protocols, "any new moves ... by China to assert its claims unilaterally would be met by an American military challenge intended to get Beijing to back down." It states that "provocative" actions "can be taken without risking a shooting war, officials say, citing intelligence that suggests there are divisions within the Chinese military establishment about how to respond."

In other words, the US would deliberately provoke and threaten the Chinese regime with a military assault, gambling that Beijing will "back down" and accede to US demands.

While the *Wall Street Journal* ludicrously pretends that the Pentagon's military strategy is "designed to avoid war, not push the US into war," reckless actions such as deploying aircraft carriers to the sensitive Taiwan Strait would have extremely unpredictable consequences.

In 1996, President Bill Clinton's administration sent two aircraft carriers to the Strait during a tense confrontation between China and Taiwan. Since then, China's military has developed long range "anti-access/area denial" (A2/AD) missile systems, specifically to deter naval vessels from the Strait and elsewhere off China's coast in the event of a conflict. Last year, Beijing responded to Taiwanese military exercises on the Penghu Islands by stationing hundreds of thousands of troops along the Strait, as well as hundreds of warplanes and 1,000 tactical ballistic

missiles.

The WSJ itself noted that Chinese leaders told a visiting American delegation in February "that they didn't take US warnings seriously." A "former administration official" who took part in the delegation told the paper: "Unfortunately, I don't think they're convinced by our muscularity... If we think we're ready to pull the trigger but they don't think that we're ready to pull the trigger, that's when bad things happen."

These comments underscore the immense danger of war that is being driven by Washington's military build-up and its willingness to "pull the trigger" against China or Russia.



To contact the WSWS and the
Socialist Equality Party visit:

wsws.org/contact