

Sao Paulo teachers, public workers strike against attack on pensions in Brazil

Our reporters
25 February 2019

Teachers in São Paulo, the largest city in the Americas, have been on strike since February 4—the beginning of the school year—in opposition to a pension reform that was introduced by right-wing Mayor Bruno Covas (PSDB) and passed by City Council at the end of December 2018.

The pension reform had initially been proposed in 2016 by the former mayor of São Paulo and defeated candidate of the Workers Party (PT) in last year's presidential elections, Fernando Haddad. A year later, his successor, millionaire right-wing Mayor João Dória (PSDB), extended Haddad's pension reform attacks.

In March 2018, when Dória tried to push the pension reform through the City Council, São Paulo teachers staged a 20-day strike, which managed to postpone the vote on the measure for 120 days. After a series of changes in the reform by the current mayor, Covas, who took office after Dória was elected governor of São Paulo, and the unleashing of brutal police repression against teachers, who protested throughout last December in front of the City Council, the pension reform was finally approved.

Covas' pension reform increased the pension contribution from 11 percent to 14 percent of teachers' total wages and established a maximum monthly pension of around 5,600 reais (\$US1,500). Those public sector workers who want to receive a full pension must contribute to a complementary pension plan (SAMPAPREV) managed by private funds, which can increase the pension contribution to up to 19 percent.

After the teachers' strike began, other sections of public sector workers have also gone on strike, including school employees, doctors and nurses. Last Tuesday, February 19, 80,000 public sector workers gathered in front of the City Hall, voting to continue the strike until the mayor repeals the pension reform. Then, the public sector workers marched through the streets of São Paulo, where WSWS reporters spoke with them.

For the physiotherapist Marcelo, who works in a maternity ward in a suburb of São Paulo, "The pension reform is a wage confiscation to increase the city's income for their [ruling class] political projects." He also recalled that, "the pension contribution has been increasing. In 2005, the pension contribution was 5 percent, and [then PSDB Mayor José] Serra said that we should contribute 11 percent, and that in 10 years

we would solve the problem of the pension deficit. It has not solved the problem, and now they increased the pension contribution to 14 percent, reaching up to 19 percent."

Many demonstrators denounced the fact that the pension reform that was approved mainly benefits the major banks, which will manage the SAMPAPREV. Dino, a pedagogical coordinator said, "I do not think we have to surrender the rights of the workers to the banks."

Gustavo, a school employee commented, "In the end, it is the worker who will pay the bills once more ... Where is the great wealth concentrated? It is not with us. We have seen the list of banks that owe money to the city, there are billions of reais!"

Gustavo referred to the billion-dollar debt that major banks and companies owe to the city of Sao Paulo in unpaid taxes, a figure that exceeds 100 billion reais (\$US27 billion), which is almost double the annual budget of the city. The alleged pension deficit of 5.6 billion reais (\$US1.5 billion) is dwarfed by the tax arrears of the banks and companies. The banks Itaú and Santander, the city's largest debtors, had a net profit of 25 billion reais (\$US6.6 billion) and 12 billion reais (\$US3.2 billion) in 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Doctors and pharmaceutical technicians also denounced the privatization of São Paulo's health care system to serve the interests of large banks and companies. Lígia, a doctor, said, "Health is being privatized; that is, management, which is public, is being handed over to a private company. An outsourced UBS [health care clinic], run by a private company is much more expensive, is controlled by the banks, and there is a lot of corruption."

Carlos, a pharmaceutical technician, denounced the cut in the number of health care employees, which "harms the population ... We do not have adequate working conditions, do not have chairs, computers, electronic passwords [to expedite service] ... they are exchanging better quality hospital supplies for cheaper ones, there is also a lack of medicines."

Lis, a doctor, insisted that "the SUS [national public health system] has to be valued, the population needs to be well treated, and health and education cannot stay the way they are for lack of investment. We doctors should strike to protest against the poor quality of care for the population, with people dying while waiting in line for medical attention."

In addition to the extensive outsourcing of health care, which according to the pharmaceutical technician Dênis, affects 93 percent of health care employees today, there is the prospect of a wage freeze for years to come. “It has been since 2004 that we have had a wage increase of 0.01 percent per year,” he said. “In that year, the wage of a health care employee was almost six times the minimum wage, and today it has decreased to half that. If we continue like this, we will be making minimum wage in a few years.”

According to history teacher Marlei, “The strike is growing. The assemblies and demonstrations began with 35,000 public sector workers, and at the February 15 rally there were twice as many.” She also said, “in addition to teachers and health care employees, the workers at zoos, cemeteries and libraries, as well as social workers, psychologists and cultural employees are also on strike.”

As the strike grows, however, the teachers’ union, Sinpeem, the largest among public sector workers and which has run the unified assemblies of public sector workers, is beginning to show that it is preparing to betray the strike movement.

Gustavo denounced the president of the union, Claudio Fonseca, who has a long record of presiding over the betrayals to teachers, saying, “Sinpeem is presided over by someone who backed [Brazilian fascistic President Jair] Bolsonaro, who backed [governor of São Paulo João] Dória, which shows that he has a political attitude that ends up confusing his interests with those of the workers.”

Physiotherapist Mercel denounced the lack of democracy in the assembly, because “only Claudio Fonseca spoke, and during the assembly, he let no other person present any other proposal.” He then said that Fonseca “manipulated the assembly.” According to him, “80,000 teachers and other public sector workers teachers decided to go to *Marginal Tietê* [São Paulo’s main avenue] and block it. In the middle of the way there, Fonseca negotiated with the police and decided not to take that route. This is alarming. He was terrorizing the workers, saying that there were hundreds of policemen [waiting for us], that there would be a bloodshed, etc.”

Marcelo added, “It’s very complicated that a struggle as big as this it left in the hands of a person like that. What happened was the first betrayal of an assembly decision. The moment now is not for hesitation. There is a national pension ‘reform’ about to be presented, and our struggle can inspire the whole of Brazil.”

One day after the public sector workers demonstration in São Paulo, on February 20, Brazil’s fascistic President Jair Bolsonaro presented his pension “reform” to the Congress. Among the main measures are raising the minimum retirement age of 65 for men, 62 for women, and the minimum contribution period of 20 years for private sector workers and 25 years for public sector workers. Bolsonaro’s pension reform also increases the minimum retirement age for teachers from 50 for women and 55 for men to 60 for both sexes.

All those who spoke to the WSWs felt that the struggle of the public sector workers in São Paulo is an anticipation of mass struggles that will break out throughout Brazil. Marlei, a teacher said, “It is important to repeal the pension reform here in São Paulo, because if it is not repealed, with the national pension reform, things will get even worse.”

“São Paulo is a laboratory for all of Brazil,” Marcelo said. “We, the workers of São Paulo, have had the responsibility to begin the confrontation, once the reform began here, so has the resistance against it.”

The struggle against Bolsonaro’s pension reform, as well as the struggle of São Paulo’s public sector workers, however, cannot remain in the hands of the unions.

On the same day that Bolsonaro announced the pension reform, the main Brazilian union federations organized a “national working class assembly,” which gathered thousands of trade unionists linked to the union bureaucracy. In addition to the CUT, the largest Brazilian union federation, also taking part in the “assembly” were Conlutas, which recently betrayed the struggle of GM workers in São José dos Campos, and Força Sindical, the right-wing union federation whose leaders were linked to the Brazilian military dictatorship.

When asked how to prevent unions and union federations from betraying the workers’ struggle against Bolsonaro’s pension “reform”, Marcelo said that “the ideal would be for workers to have full autonomy and for us to strike regardless of the unions’ *peleguismo* [scabbing] ... One can not leave such a struggle in the hands of a right-wing and manipulative leadership. How to get out of this? I think it’s through the work of the rank-and-file, through strike committees, talking to our comrades and with other workers.”

The authors also recommend:

Brazil: São Paulo teachers strike against pension reform
[26 March 2018]



To contact the WSWs and the
Socialist Equality Party visit:

wsws.org/contact