

# Supreme Court approves construction of Trump's border wall

Eric London  
27 July 2019

The United States Supreme Court yesterday granted the Trump administration's request to stay a lower court order postponing construction of the border wall with funds appropriated via the declaration of a national emergency.

The order is a major political victory for Trump, who can now begin construction of the barrier between the US and Mexico. It is the outcome of a recurring political process whereby the Democrats drop opposition to Trump's unprecedented and unpopular attacks on democratic rights and the courts ultimately rubber stamp his moves.

The order is a blow to the separation of powers, dramatically strengthening the power of the executive branch. In February, Trump declared a national emergency and usurped Congress' constitutionally mandated power to allocate funds. By ruling that Trump could show "a likelihood that irreparable harm will result from a denial of a stay [on the lower court order]" the court accepted the administration's argument that a massive wall is needed for "unforeseen military requirements," as Trump Solicitor General Noel Francisco argued in a legal motion.

Trump tweeted: "Wow! Big VICTORY on the Wall. The United States Supreme Court overturns lower court injunction, allows Southern Border Wall to proceed. Big WIN for Border Security and the Rule of Law!"

Far-right *Breitbart News* ran a banner headline: "Supreme Court Lets Trump Build The Wall," and Trump's fascist supporters within Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) praised the measure.

The ruling comes as Trump steps up his fascistic attacks on immigrant workers. NBC News reported Thursday that soldiers are now guarding immigrant adults and children at a concentration camp in Donna,

Texas.

"Despite past assurances from federal officials that the active-duty US troops deployed to the border would not be in direct contact with migrants or be used for law enforcement, the service members stand watch over the migrants," the NBC report reads. "The troops are perched on raised platforms throughout a large room where the migrants are held, according to the four officials."

Immigration detention centers more and more resemble prisoner of war camps. Moreover, the move is a violation of the democratic principle barring the use of the military as a *posse comitatus* to enforce domestic laws, a practice common in police state dictatorships.

The NBC report cited an anonymous former US defense official who said the military's actions are "probably a bridge too far." This development was buried in the news and ignored by the Democratic Party.

The Supreme Court ruling follows a May decision by a district court judge in California to grant a motion for a preliminary injunction filed by the American Civil Liberties Union, halting construction of the wall.

The government then appealed and asked the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to stay the district court's ruling pending the outcome of an appeal on the merits. The Ninth Circuit refused to grant the government's stay request, but the Supreme Court's order overturned this ruling. As a result, it is possible the government will rapidly build the border wall before the courts make a decision on the legality of the move.

In a parallel case, the House of Representatives sued Trump for violating Article One of the US Constitution, which grants Congress the power to allocate funds. A district court judge in Washington DC ruled, however, that the House of Representatives could not show legal

injury and that it did not have standing to sue Trump.

Judge Trevor McFadden wrote, “Congress has several political arrows in its quiver to counter perceived threats to its sphere of power,” including laws “to expressly restrict the transfer or spending of funds for a border wall.” This argument, asserting that the president can effectively pass his own laws to allocate funds so long as Congress has not explicitly prohibited him from doing so, is a novel argument that effectively transfers the power to make laws to the executive branch.

Three of the Democratic nominees to the Supreme Court voted against yesterday’s court order. One justice, Stephen Breyer, concurred in part with the order, ruling that he would allow the government to begin preparing to build the wall but not to begin actually building the wall itself.

“I would grant the Government’s application to stay the injunction only to the extent that the injunction prevents the Government from finalizing the contracts or taking other preparatory administrative action,” he wrote.

But this display of fecklessness was outdone by congressional Democrats, who voted at the end of June to grant Trump the \$4.9 billion he requested to militarize the border and support plans for wall construction.

Also Friday, Trump forced the Guatemalan government to agree to a “safe third country” agreement whereby all immigrants crossing through Guatemala from the south will be barred from applying for asylum in the US and will be immediately deported to Guatemala. Since Guatemala spans from the Pacific Ocean to the Caribbean Sea, this means a blanket ban for all Honduran and Salvadoran refugees.

Trump stood over the Guatemalan delegates as they signed the agreement in the White House. Before the ink dried, Guatemalan Interior Minister Enrique Antonio Degenhart thanked Trump and told him, “At your service, sir.”

Opposition to Trump’s attack on immigrants will not come from the Democratic Party or through the courts. On Monday, black and white residents of a working class neighborhood in Nashville, Tennessee formed a human chain around an immigrant family as ICE agents attempted to arrest them. This powerful event shows that the fight to defend immigrant workers and

democratic rights must be waged by the working class.



To contact the WSWS and the Socialist Equality Party visit:  
**wsws.org/contact**