What science knows about Andes hantavirus and why governments ignore it

Benjamin Mateus
15 May 2026

The 2026 MV Hondius cruise ship outbreak represents a terrifying milestone in global epidemiology. As the first known shipborne Andes virus event in recorded history, the outbreak has already claimed three lives and scattered a highly lethal pathogen across multiple countries.

Hantaviruses belong to a family of RNA viruses that are naturally maintained and carried by wild rodents. While most hantaviruses are transmitted exclusively from animals to humans, the Andes strain is uniquely dangerous because it is the only hantavirus in the world with documented person-to-person transmission capabilities. This distinct biological property transforms the virus from a localized ecological hazard into a pathogen with profound global reach.

The public health significance of this transmission potential is staggering, yet it is met with a glaring paradox. The Andes virus carries a catastrophic case fatality rate of 38 to 40 percent, and medical science currently offers no approved vaccine and no specific antiviral treatment. Despite these alarming realities, the scientific literature investigating its exact transmission biology remains dangerously thin.

The existing evidence, however limited, identifies a credible and severely undercharacterized pandemic threat. What research does exist raises urgent questions about global preparedness, particularly as capitalist governments actively dismantle the exact public health and scientific infrastructures required to monitor and contain such diseases. The deliberate defunding of pandemic research programs by the ruling class makes this biological threat exponentially more dangerous.

This report will cover the virology of the hantavirus family, examine the specific mechanisms of the Andes virus infection and transmission, and explain how the politically engineered collapse of global health defenses has paved the way for the current crisis.

Hantaviruses, ecology and the unique danger of the Andes strain

Hantaviruses belong to the family Hantaviridae, representing a diverse group of RNA viruses naturally hosted by wild rodents. At the molecular level, their genome is organized into three single-stranded, negative-sense RNA segments, specifically designated as the small, medium and large segments. These distinct segments encode the critical proteins required for the virus to attach to human host cells, replicate and evade early immune detection.

Globally, the viral family is divided into two distinct lineages that dictate the severe nature of the human illness they inflict. Old World hantaviruses, which include the Hantaan, Seoul and Puumala strains, circulate primarily in Europe and Asia. They are the infectious agents behind hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome, a disease characterized by severe kidney swelling, proteinuria and bleeding disorders. Conversely, New World hantaviruses circulate throughout the Americas and trigger hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome. This brutal American variant is defined by the rapid onset of noncardiogenic pulmonary edema, a condition where the pulmonary capillaries leak massive amounts of fluid into the lungs, inevitably leading to severe respiratory failure and cardiogenic shock.

For almost all recognized hantaviruses, the primary route of transmission remains strictly zoonotic. Human infection classically occurs when individuals inhale aerosolized viral particles shed in the urine, feces or saliva of infected rodents, often while disturbing dust in enclosed rural spaces or agricultural settings. In the United States, the Sin Nombre virus is responsible for the largest burden of hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome. Like other members of its family, the Sin Nombre virus functions as an evolutionary dead end in human hosts, with no documented cases of person-to-person spread.

However, the Andes virus represents a terrifying biological exception. The virus is endemic to the Andean foothills of Argentina and Chile, where it is maintained in nature by its primary reservoir host, the long-tailed pygmy rice rat known scientifically as *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus*.

Taxonomically and epidemiologically, the Andes virus stands entirely alone because it is the only hantavirus on earth with confirmed person-to-person transmission capabilities. This unique trait, rigorously documented in a landmark 2020 *New England Journal of Medicine* study on the 2018–2019 Epuyén outbreak, fundamentally alters the pathogen's threat level.

In late 2018 and early 2019, a deadly outbreak of the Andes strain of hantavirus struck the small town of Epuyén in the Chubut Province of Argentina. As detailed in that landmark *NEJM* report, the crisis began when a 68-year-old man contracted the virus from a rodent and subsequently attended a crowded birthday party while experiencing a fever. This single environmental spillover event ignited a catastrophic chain of person-to-person transmission that ultimately infected 34 people and caused 11 deaths.

The study revealed that the rapid expansion of the outbreak was primarily driven by just three symptomatic individuals, who acted as superspreaders at local social gatherings, including the initial birthday party and a later funeral wake. Researchers discovered that patients carrying exceptionally high viral loads and experiencing liver injury were the most likely to pass the pathogen to others. The virus spread efficiently among people in close physical proximity, with the first patient infecting five others during just a 90-minute window at the party.

Before public health officials intervened, the virus possessed a basic reproduction number of 2.12, meaning each infected person transmitted the illness to more than two other people on average. However, once authorities enforced strict isolation protocols for sick patients and mandated self-quarantine for their close contacts, that transmission rate plummeted to 0.96, effectively halting the outbreak. Today, this study serves as the definitive scientific proof that the Andes virus can sustain human-to-human spread, offering a stark warning about the explosive

transmission potential of the virus in confined social spaces like a cruise ship.

What the study clearly proved is that, instead of relying entirely on independent rodent exposures, the Andes virus can spark sustained chains of transmission through close human contact. This single evolutionary difference transforms the pathogen from a predictable localized environmental hazard into a credible pandemic threat capable of rapid global dispersal.

Clinical disease: HCPS pathophysiology and why it kills

Hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome (HCPS) progresses through three distinct and brutal clinical phases. The initial prodromal phase typically lasts one to five days and presents with nonspecific symptoms, including fever, severe myalgia, headache, nausea and abdominal pain. Because this presentation is clinically indistinguishable from routine influenza or gastrointestinal illnesses, patients are frequently misdiagnosed. Tragically, this deceptive period represents the most highly infectious window for person-to-person transmission of the Andes virus.

The disease then abruptly shifts into the cardiopulmonary phase, characterized by a rapid onset of coughing, severe shortness of breath and profound hypoxia. The pathophysiology behind this collapse is rooted in the viral infection of the endothelial cells lining the blood vessels. This cellular invasion triggers a massive immune system overreaction heavily mediated by infiltrating T lymphocytes. The resulting immunologic assault causes a catastrophic increase in pulmonary capillary permeability. As plasma rapidly leaks from the microvasculature, the alveoli flood with high-protein fluid, leading to massive noncardiogenic pulmonary edema and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Hemodynamically, the patient experiences a severe drop in blood pressure driven initially by distributive fluid loss into the lungs, which is quickly complicated by profound myocardial depression, ultimately culminating in fatal cardiogenic shock.

For those who survive the acute hemodynamic collapse, the convalescent phase begins with spontaneous diuresis as fluid finally clears from the lungs. However, recovery is exceptionally prolonged and can take up to six months, with some patients suffering lasting physical or neurological sequelae.

This medical crisis is compounded by the complete absence of targeted pharmaceutical interventions. Currently, there are no approved vaccines and no specific antiviral medications available to treat the infection. Treatment remains entirely supportive, relying heavily on lung-protective mechanical ventilation, vasopressors to maintain blood pressure, and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in cases of refractory shock. Consequently, the case fatality rate for the Andes virus is extraordinarily high, hovering around 38 to 40 percent in published series, with some severe outbreaks recording mortality rates exceeding 50 percent.

The systemic severity of this capillary leak was further illuminated by the 2024 study “Viral shedding and viraemia of Andes virus during acute hantavirus infection: a prospective study,” published in *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. Researchers found that the detection of Andes virus RNA in extravascular body fluids independently predicts severe disease with an odds ratio of 2.58. This finding confirms that widespread viral shedding beyond the bloodstream is a direct marker of catastrophic vascular failure and impending clinical collapse.

First evidence of human-to-human transmission: El Bolsón/El Maitén 1996

For 30 years, infectious disease textbooks have carried a single asterisk against an entire viral family. Of the dozens of identified hantaviruses, only the Andes virus possesses firm evidence of moving directly from one human being to another. The foundation of this scientific understanding stems from a catastrophic 1996 outbreak in El Bolsón and El Maitén, small towns in the Patagonia region of Argentina. This cluster was initially detailed in the 1997 study “An unusual hantavirus outbreak in southern Argentina: person-to-person transmission,” published in the journal *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, and its transmission dynamics were later codified in the 2005 study “Person-to-person transmission of Andes virus,” published in the same journal.

The crisis began when a wilderness guide, acting as the index case, contracted the virus after a rodent exposure in the Patagonian wilderness. He subsequently developed a severe fever and died. What followed shattered the prevailing scientific consensus that hantaviruses were strictly zoonotic dead ends. Over the ensuing weeks, a deadly chain of secondary infections emerged. The wife of the wilderness guide, two of the physicians who treated him, and a nurse all fell ill with the same severe clinical picture. Crucially, none of these secondary cases had any plausible environmental exposure to rodents.

The defining feature of this outbreak was geographic. An infected traveler who had passed through El Bolsón carried the virus back to Buenos Aires, a city located approximately 1,500 kilometers away from the only known natural reservoir for the Andes virus, the long-tailed pygmy rice rat. In Buenos Aires, two intimate contacts of that traveler subsequently developed Andes virus disease. Neither contact had ever visited Patagonia, meaning there was absolutely no rodent exposure whatsoever.

To establish this unprecedented human-to-human spread, researchers relied on three converging lines of evidence. First, epidemiologic contact mapping showed cases occurring in tight household and healthcare worker clusters on a biologically plausible timeline. Second, partial genomic sequencing revealed that secondary cases shared viral genomes that were nearly identical to the index case and distinct from other contemporaneous rodent isolates. Finally, the geographic isolation of the Buenos Aires cases allowed scientists to definitively exclude any shared environmental rodent exposure.

The researchers concluded that person-to-person transmission of the Andes virus was a reality. The epidemiologic data indicated that close contact during the prodromal phase or early cardiopulmonary phase is likely required for the virus to successfully jump between human hosts. However, the papers also identified critical known unknowns that persist today. The exact route of transmission—whether through respiratory droplets, salivary transfer or other bodily fluids—remains unconfirmed. Furthermore, the minimum infectious dose required to transmit the pathogen and the precise role of an infected patient’s viral load in driving transmission remain dangerously undercharacterized.

This seminal research holds immense significance. It stands as the first scientific literature to formally establish the Andes virus as a pathogen uniquely capable of spreading person to person. This single biological fact transforms the current MV Hondius cluster from an isolated maritime tragedy into an event with profound global pandemic potential.

Mechanisms of transmission: What the shedding and structural studies tell us

The viral shedding dynamics of the Andes virus have been documented with precision in a 2024 prospective study involving 131 confirmed cases in Chile, published in *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. Researchers

detected Andes virus RNA in 100 percent of acute-phase blood samples. Beyond the bloodstream, the virus was actively expelled through multiple bodily fluids. Researchers confirmed the presence of infectious viral particles capable of replicating in laboratory cell cultures in 42 percent of the RNA-positive specimens. These highly infectious samples included nasopharyngeal swabs, urine, saliva (detected in 12 percent of specimens) and gingival crevicular fluid—the seepage around teeth—detected in 30 percent of specimens.

Crucially, these temporal dynamics reveal that viral shedding peaks during the initial prodromal and early cardiopulmonary phases of the illness. This means patients are actively exhaling and exchanging the virus before they receive a diagnosis and before any isolation protocols can be implemented. The shedding study also established a grim clinical reality, showing that the presence of Andes virus RNA in extravascular fluids independently predicts severe disease.

Structural proof of these transmission pathways was provided in a 2020 study published in *Frontiers in Microbiology*. Using advanced immunocytochemical imaging on tissue samples from fatal human cases, researchers localized massive concentrations of Andes virus antigens directly within the respiratory epithelium of the lungs and the secretory cells of the submandibular salivary glands. This cellular evidence perfectly aligns with the shedding data, proving structurally that the virus replicates in the lungs and salivary glands to facilitate transmission through respiratory droplets and saliva.

Further confirming this person-to-person threat, a 2023 study in *Emerging Infectious Diseases* successfully modeled horizontal transmission of the Andes virus in Syrian hamsters. The animal models demonstrated that infected subjects efficiently shed the virus and directly transmitted the deadly pathogen to their uninfected contacts through close physical proximity.

Synthesizing these three streams of scientific evidence paints a coherent mechanistic picture. The Andes virus efficiently sheds from the oral and respiratory surfaces of patients precisely when they appear to be suffering from only a mild illness. In densely packed social environments like a ship dining room or a crowded social gathering, prolonged close contact is not an anomaly but the default condition—transforming enclosed spaces into ideal environments for superspreading events.

Epidemiologic modeling and transmission risk parameters

The basic reproduction number of the Andes virus appears to depend on the social environment. In most general settings, the baseline reproduction number is less than one, meaning outbreaks typically fizzle out naturally. However, the *NEJM* 2020 study proved that the virus could explode in concentrated populations. During the Epuyén outbreak, the reproduction number spiked to an alarming 2.12 before public health authorities finally intervened to enforce isolation measures. The built environment and social density are the decisive factors in whether this pathogen causes isolated tragedies or massive superspreader events.

Within household environments, the attack rate among close contacts is estimated to be 15 to 20 percent based on available case series. Once a person is exposed, the incubation period is variable. The median incubation time is approximately 18 days after human-to-human contact, but clinical reports document a range from 7 to 39 days. This extended timeline poses a nightmare for contact tracing: A luxury cruise ship or a commercial flight can scatter infected individuals across the globe weeks before anyone realizes they are harboring a lethal pathogen.

The infectious period most likely begins during the deceptive prodromal phase. Shedding data and clinical observations suggest a highly concentrated window of peak infectiousness lasting just one to three days, often peaking the very day a patient develops a fever. Historically, all documented transmissions were thought to require prolonged or intimate physical contact. However, this assumption is now fiercely debated. During the Epuyén cluster, one patient was infected after crossing paths with an infectious person only briefly on the way to a restroom.

Further challenging the prolonged-contact theory, the 2026 MV Hondius cluster includes a passenger from Alicante, Spain, who was hospitalized with symptoms after sitting two rows behind the dying wife of the index patient on a KLM commercial flight. These incidents suggest the threshold for transmission is significantly lower than public health officials have assumed.

Despite decades of research, the exact minimum infectious dose required to seed an infection and the minimum exposure duration needed to transmit the virus remain key unknowns. The lack of definitive answers regarding how easily the Andes virus can spread in a brief encounter ensures that millions remain vulnerable to an escalating threat.

The genomic question: What drives person-to-person transmission

The Andes virus and the North American Sin Nombre virus share a common evolutionary lineage, and both trigger catastrophic cardiopulmonary failure. Yet only the Andes virus exhibits the ability to spread from human to human. Virologists hypothesize that genetic sequence divergence within the medium segment of the Andes virus—which encodes the Gn and Gc surface glycoproteins that mediate receptor binding and host cell entry—directly correlates with this unique transmissibility. The exact amino acid residues responsible for unlocking this person-to-person pathway remain a critical blind spot in modern science.

Genomic comparisons across decades offer a sobering realization. The viral genomes sequenced during the 1996 El Bolsón outbreak, the 2018 Epuyén cluster and the current 2026 MV Hondius crisis demonstrate remarkable continuity. According to the *NEJM* 2020 study, the 2018 Epuyén strain shared nearly identical genomic traits with the original 1996 strain without requiring significant viral adaptation or mutation to spread efficiently among close contacts. The baseline genetic traits required for human-to-human transmission have existed silently in the wild rodent reservoir for decades.

It is imperative to draw a sharp boundary between established evidence and scientific speculation. There is currently no proof that the virus has mutated to become inherently more contagious. However, the absence of evidence is not evidence of absence. Because capitalist governments have deliberately defunded critical ecological surveillance programs and terminated pandemic prevention research, our understanding of the Andes virus genetic diversity currently circulating within wild rodent reservoirs is dangerously incomplete.

Conclusion

The catastrophic unanswered questions surrounding the Andes virus are not the result of innocent scientific limitations, but of a deliberate political assault on global public health. In particular since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, capitalist governments have systematically dismantled the exact research and surveillance programs required to understand and contain this pathogen.

In June 2025, the National Institutes of Health abruptly terminated the Centers for Research in Emerging Infectious Diseases (CREID) network. This eliminated a specific pilot project in Argentina dedicated to studying Andes virus rodent-to-human spillover. Concurrently, the Trump administration terminated the STOP Spillover program, which monitored zoonotic threats in high-risk zones. Together, these cuts leave a massive global gap: there is no federally funded surveillance to track the genetic diversity of the Andes virus in wild rodents, nor the capacity to determine its true geographic range and human-to-human transmission potential outside of Argentina and Chile.

This intentional sabotage is compounded by massive budget cuts to Argentina's National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET), enacted by the fascistic President Javier Milei. These cuts have decimated critical field surveillance of the long-tailed pygmy rice rat in Patagonia. As warming temperatures push rodent habitats southward and into new altitudes, the loss of in-country monitoring capacity ensures the world has no early warning system for shifting spillover zones.

Furthermore, the complete elimination of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Vessel Sanitation Program staff in April 2025 destroyed American rapid-response capacity for maritime outbreaks. Without this oversight infrastructure, critical scientific questions about cruise ship sanitation, the role of environmental surfaces and fomites in viral transmission, and whether brief exposures in social settings or on aircraft can reliably produce infection remain dangerously unanswered.

Finally, the withdrawal of the United States from the World Health Organization (WHO) in January 2025 severely fractured the coordinated global response architecture. By severing formal International Health Regulations notification channels, this political maneuver directly enabled the 21-day notification delay during the MV Hondius outbreak. The early warning architecture designed to protect the global population has been intentionally broken.

The MV Hondius outbreak is not merely a biological tragedy but a manufactured political crisis. Capitalist governments have systematically subordinated objective science to the profit interests of financial oligarchy. Rather than funding researchers to study and neutralize biological threats like the Andes virus, the ruling class has deliberately dismantled the exact global health infrastructure needed to stop them.

This domination of reactionary politics over science has transformed manageable ecological challenges into existential threats to humanity. The outbreak aboard this luxury cruise ship is but a chilling preview of the ease with which future pandemics will unfold. The capitalist system has proven it is structurally incapable of protecting human life from the increasing threat of zoonotic spillovers.